

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/594,735	Applicant(s) ANDRE ET AL.	
	Examiner BARBARA FRAZIER	Art Unit 1611	

All Participants:

(1) BARBARA FRAZIER.

(2) Thor B. Nielsen.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:50 p.m.

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner told Mr. Nielsen that the reference used to establish lack of unity in the restriction dated 12/27/07 would no longer be applied; however, another reference would be used to establish lack of unity and thus maintain the restriction requirement. Examiner asked Mr. Nielsen if it would be all right to maintain the original election in the response filed 1/24/08 and include the new reference with the next Office action, to which Mr. Nielsen agreed.